

# Michael Tsarion Podcast

01:08

Michael, I'm sure this has been covered elsewhere. You've been in the public eye for around 20 years now. But I imagine this work has consumed the majority of your life, particularly with the depth of the research that you've done. And what originally led you down the path of, you know, the work that you're doing in the first place.

01:31

Oh, I've never known a time when it was any other way really, right. Except that things were gradual back in the 70s. And if you have to deal with specifics, then it's more I got it, I got interested in subversive symbolism. As a as it might appear, you know, in subliminal advertising, that was kind of one of the big launch points. And that nobody was the slightest bit interested, you know, in following me in that research, so it was kind of a, something you had to commit to on your own. And that was good. That was a good discipline. You know, kids should not just necessarily be into things that everybody else is, you know, finding, you know, trendy, you know, skateboards playing marbles, whatever, you know, this was this was something that was already going to take me in a direction. There's a lonely kind of road, you know, but then a little thing happened in the last year of school, maybe late 70s, whenever, you know, I came across a book that really changed my life. It was a book of art, by the very famous Irish author, Jim Fitzpatrick, and was full of Irish legends, beautiful illustrations. He was also a heavy metal, you know, designer and stuff real famous in his own right. Really mega personal, and then a read read the text. And that got me really into Irish mythology, you know, and that that was huge. That was huge as well. So those are the two things I look at as still being relevant to what I do today. There was a general interest in other things, but nothing that really coalesced. It would have been those two events, getting interested in subliminal manipulation, you know, and then getting into Irish mythology, those have to be key areas really.

03:20

Show show I remember listening to some of your stuff, I think it was on the on the enslaved podcast with Ralph Ellis, speaking about the ginger haired Pharaohs and everything. And that was one of the first things actually switched me on to a completely different history. You know, to the one that we've been told, I had Ralph Ellis on the programme about a couple of months ago. And he actually believes that the the ginger hair, the gene of the ginger hair come from Egypt to Ireland, rather than that what your work says was on Indaba, to Egypt. Have you got any more thoughts on that?

03:56

Good point. Good point. Yeah. He's not wrong, right? He's not wrong. Anyone. La Waddell people have great evidence. Anyone who thinks that right is not wholly incorrect. It's just that they're leaving out, you know, a more primordial ancient traversal right from the northwest into the east. And what can you do you know what I mean? They should know better, they should have read the work of that. I've read Ignatius Donnelly on a will commerce Bomani comic dari, those are the ones I sort of do homage to in

my book, but the evidence is obvious. For goodness sake, Professor Tom one of the greatest archaeologists that ever lived, you know, I mean, top line academics have actually shown evidence that supports my thesis. And if people go to the Irish origins website, presented at all there, you know, so yeah, these are new ideas in a way for the modern era, you know, 21st century whatever. So yeah, I don't hold my breath waiting for anybody. You know, I know what I know. And I can back it up, but I'm not big. I'm not holding back waiting for the world to get it or anything like that, you know?

05:03

Yeah, one of the things that stands out, we your work Michael, is the psychological and philosophical outlook on everything, rather than just, you know, falling into the conspiracy realm. There's a lot of - it's a lot deeper than that. It's a lot to do with why is it happening? And why are the crowd being led down that path?

05:21

You spoke quite comprehensively of I did a summary of your world in your head article that you did, I did a summary on YouTube that could you just touch on briefly, like the work of Carl Jung and Sigmund Freud? What what impact have those guys had on your work?

05:47

It's enormous. Obviously. I'm one of the only people in the so called movement that ever dealt with psychology people are completely wrong idea of it. And they shy away from it. Now, of course, Freud wins, though, doesn't it? Because if you shy away from a subject, coming up with all sorts of intellectual, stupid reasons why you don't like it, he kind of wins because he knows that the reason you don't like it is because it's psychological. It's about you. So it's one plate, one finger pointing there and three fingers pointing back. So I kind of got threatened by that myself in the beginning, but then I got the like it as I read more and more books and got familiar with that dynamic, that, you know, the man who's raging, I don't love my mother, what are you talking about killed a man who says that falls right into for you all. I think we've got the point here. Because why would you lose the bat? Why would you suddenly lose your you know, and I've seen this, I've actually seen it right in front of you that I rightness falls into Freud's trap, because if he weren't, if this wasn't a syndrome, then you wouldn't lose any sleep over and you wouldn't, and also the avoidance, you wouldn't avoid it. So people's avoidance of something that should just be a straight conversation, the straight interest, you know, proves the point. And so then I realised that slowly, I realised I kind of was led in that direction. There's many stages to that. Because I didn't know anything about psychology until about 1991. When I started picking it up more and studying it, you know, in book form and everything. I got jobs in bookstores, so the books were there, they're available, I would use my store discount and you know, start buying these books and starting to read them earnestly. And actually, I was doing film school at the time. So it wasn't even that much legitimate interest in psychology, it was to analyse film, you see, and some of the major film genres, as you probably know, like the Alfred Hitchcock era and the film noir, and what have you, and even gothic horror, require a psychological understanding all the critics do. So it's not I didn't open up any Yeah. Oh, my God, I didn't open up a new field there.

07:54

Otto Rank?

07:56

Oh, yeah.

08:02

The question where he questioned the legitimacy of psychotherapy in general... Is it worthwhile? And why were people actually doing it? Do you revere his work as to the level of Sigmund Freud? Because no, it was any secretary at the time was there?

08:20

Yeah, he was for 22 years or something like that. So that, so that tells you, the man knew what he was talking about. And I think that he liked John Paul Sartre and others, I think their arguments are very, very important. But I still don't put them on the level of Freud. You know, my own personal thinking, I haven't done that. Because that would mean throwing Freud up. And that's not not not a good idea. There's a lot of forces in the world right now, especially world feminism, that would just love you to do that. So coming at things from a more of a masculine point of view, I don't think it's a very good idea to throw it Freud, Jung or Rank. What we got to do is find the harmony the right place to approach them, which is a sort of a non part of them, you know, looking for the truth, because if you throw a fraud, then you throw curse Christina, Julia Christina, who took who was a feminist, but was a little bit oddball feminist who took Freud's work into an area that scared her and scared the rest of the feminists. But you wouldn't even get to that aspect was like the Freud. So Jesus, a Freud. You cannot you cannot love to hate them. And our future depends on thinkers like that. Because if we do, we come under a different kind of mind control, one that suits women in our world, and the duplicitous women, I mean, feminised women enormously and there's very few Boston's against that onslaught, and Freud is one of them as is Jung and other disciplines as well. So by no means can man afford and masculine thinking women. Oh my goodness, never, never, never should that happen. Another rank isn't there necessary critic, isn't it? He's like the guy who comes and says, Well, you know, he's like a house assessor. You know, you need him right? He's very, very caustic. He pours some curls up on some of the worst elements. He's not the only one. There's a whole list of people like that. Right? And we need them all. Very strong. You know, Karen Horney, a big critics of Freud. They're needed. So the critic is needed. But the original - the original is needed as well. Yeah, yeah. Did you mention Kevin horny there? Yeah. Karen, are they? Yes, right. Yeah, she she was a big one for the parents when she I think she was one of the first ones to say how poor parenting led to so much trauma in the in the growing child. Definitely. She had problems with her own daughter as did Melanie Klein. They had daughters who were in real problems. Certainly, certainly Karen Horney was arguably the greatest psychologist who ever lived. You know, and that's a big statement. But, you know, I think her therapy is extraordinarily important. Maybe not as more than younger Freud but from a therapeutic point of view. I think it's more dynamic, because she addresses the mask. Right? other other men have to wear a mask, other people have fun, come up with a theory, but nobody has ever really dealt with it with clients as expressively as she did. And to me, it's huge. The mask? Oh, my goodness, that's absolutely huge. That's what's wrong with the world, you know?

11:13

Yeah. One of the things you mentioned in one of the one of your articles was that the, towards the end of the 19th, and early 20th century was was a period where the psyche was beginning to try and understand itself again. Could you like expand on that?

11:33

Excellent. I mean, that's just a brilliant question. If everybody asked me questions like that it would be absolutely wonderful to do this work. Yeah. I mean, that will that's huge. That's absolutely huge. And you couldn't understand that, you know, statement that I made unless you've got your Julian Jayne's online, your Immanuel velikovsky. And also the just the history of trauma. See, that doesn't make any sense. Why it's so late in the day with the psyche, sort of invert and you're right, it's world and, you know, do you know how long it took you waiting? even, you know, get that article together to express that kind of thing has been on the shelf for the best part of 20 years. But yeah, finally, I got to express it this year, and that is a it ties into my workout love this and everything. But the simple. The simple answer is simply Yes. So damaged was psyche. of man, right? There's a psyche of humankind, that it couldn't even address its own wounds. It patched them up in a sort of a Frankensteinian way, you know, down through the centuries. And it's just let them fester. But slowly, slowly, slowly, a point came where you know, the plaster could be torn off, and we could examine the wound underneath to see, you know, how bad are things you know, and things are bad. But yeah, what I what other people will call the advent of psychoanalysis. I definitely see as you know, in a historical context, as a moment in which the pain of the traumas that are all you know, harboured in the right brain they're harboured even in the cells of our body. This whole thing is an amazing study, right? parasympathetic system has come online and many other different In fact, the split brain, everything, everything about our being, including the split brain has come on as a sort of a mitigator. to mollify, and and quell You know, this agonising trauma that happened because of catastrophism years ago, right now. Yeah, and one level of looking at modernity, it's good. Just on one on one level, you know, things can go really, really wrong. The wheel can come off again, but right it by the time that Freud was living, that's what I feel is now it's not that Freud discovered something is that the psyche was calling itself saying who am I? You know, it's like the freezing, freezing cold arctic winter, you know, and you say I pick outthe frosted now, yeah, thanks. You know, the coffee's feel warm. I feel good. Maybe now I can turn to face the great trauma. So what trauma is like on an individual person coming out of a car wreck coming out of you know, war PTSD. I think the whole of the race, has suffered PTSD and in most extraordinarily big way. But signs are, you know, and then there's all these forces that want to sabotage this act of introversion and investigation. There's also forces that deeply want them to be trauma. traumatised. I wonder who they are, right? Yes. So you know, they want to keep us in a traumatised situation. So we're easy to control.

14:24

Yeah, yeah. So you think that all stems from not some ancestral trauma? I know you've asked about that in previous work of yours? Yeah. Would you consider that the flood - not the flood of the Bible? What would you consider? a flood or fire or combination?

14:41

Depending on different claims, you know, different countries, different nations experience that differently some did around the Mediterranean. Water was a key thing in other areas fire. In other areas. It was a torrent a torrent, Cataclysm of unimaginable scale. This would be more up in Scotland,

Iceland, Greenland and the Arctic. It was all of the above. That's why it's wrecked what we call snow and ice, you know, and fjords. Oh my goodness. It's a joke. It's like a traveler's guide version. Take another look, you know, at the fjords. Top geologists have written on this, but who cares? You know, there's the trauma. Right? If you have a car wreck, you always avoid the road which you have that car wreck, you know, you just take another road, even if it's twice as long. Well, that's what humankind has done. It can face even though it's written in stone, you know, up in the Arctic and Britain in the north of Scotland, the wreckage in the damage, you know, erratic boulders and we get into the whole geology of it. Yeah, but unfortunately, we're dealing with cognitive dissonance, the trauma of the cataclysm in the northwest, destroyed an entire civilization that they call hyperborea. You know, ultimate Tula rock toss polarises a million names for the Arctic homeland is another one, you know. So it's very fresh in our minds still on a collective level.

16:05

Yeah. So would you link shamanic type thinking and shamanic work to psychoanalysis? Would you consider them similar things?

16:17

Yeah, yeah. Because the old shamanism you know, which included herbalism, and geomancy, and extreme, extreme attention to nature, yes, it's very similar. Because it's all about healing. You can see the connections between those two is the moment that you deal with Cataclysm. If you're if you're in the cataclysm, then that's no problem seeing that we have our modern form of healing modality. And then the shaman had their own, you know, I kind of personally a little bit like the shamanic aspect, because they give a kick up the arse, you know, today, anybody can go and sit down, completely degenerate and fake and false as they can, and then call up a psychologist with a sham. And then, you know, they tear you to pieces between the snake pit and go cry. Like that may, you know, they were much more stringent and strict. We have it all too easy in our culture, you know? We do we do?

17:08

Let's talk a little bit about the feminization of men, what do you think that that's occurring? Do you think that's natural? Or do you think that what's going on is completely arranged.

17:18

But it's strange, it has been arranged, obviously, a lot of this has been co opted. But there is a natural thread to it as well, I have programmes coming up that will go into that more, the natural aspect would be that there are just absolute differences between the masculine and the feminine. And you could go back to the Palaeolithic. And you'd see the, you know, the Star Trek and all of them, these kind of funny ones, where they, they show this, you know, but it's actually it's actually quite true. There are such differences between men and women, like, you know, those who want to be hunter gatherers, and those who want to be settled. There's one right there. And then when you are settled, yeah, there's there's even more, you know, weirdness about how the women want to live, and how, again, accuracy forms, and how they don't want to be fighting the animals anymore. And you know, and they start even forgetting about those kinds of things with a man cannot afford to forget, you know, and all of that. So, right from the off, there are amazing differences. So imagine you were living back then, and you had this vision as a shaman, and you just saw projected into the future, what it was going to be like, what

gender dynamics, you pretty much get it right. Here we are, you know, so in one way, you know, and the fact that women give birth, and therefore they have to be settled. And they're the ones who know who they had sex with. Right? Where's the man's thing is the gods my ancestors have created my son, my child, and the woman all along those? let them keep thinking that for a couple of 1000 years, right, so the section is much more involved with women, a woman will always estimate necessity. That's the feminist jive. An honest woman would say no allies, allies, allies, ally, how can we turn around and claim that the man or this and that the other one, we don't even don't even accept even one blame to ourselves? That's not racial, that's not equality. That's not nothing. That's this line. That's moral turpitude. So the people who read my work are the good masculine women, they say, got it. Absolutely brilliant analysis explains a lot. Women lied about paternity to men. And therefore, this is the, that was the original sin, that then, you know, get covered up. It's there and mythology, you know, and the evil witch, and it's sort of like, you know, that Snow White And Seven Dwarves and the evil witch and the evil, the, or the evil grandmother, you know, on the witches, like, in the case of it, aka Shakespeare and sort of witches run the cauldron. There's an incredible story there that I, you know, bring to bear my work about that. So some of it is natural, just based on natural differences and natural proclivities. And the way you know, people, men and women both responded to their environment. And then as we move down towards the 20th century, there's there's another hand moves in, you know, as women became more standing up for their rights became more socialistic. Basically, it's just a euphemism for man hating, extreme man hates to manufacture. And by the time you get up to the big cosmopolitan magazines, and the whole new york scene and the different waves of feminism, then we get to work. We are now a criminal syndicate ruling the minds of women deeply ruling the minds of women a lot of men are waking up which I'm glad to see but the women are still very much under the under the spell.

20:13

And Gustave Le Bon wrote heavily about crowd psychology. What impact do you think that's having on our feminism, but in particular, the far left at the moment,

20:24

I just completely be able to control how important is that Gustave Le Bon's work with with crowd psychology and what's going on in the world at the moment dealing absolutely huge because you know, Hitler, that was his favourite book, meaning that dictators read him why the why doesn't everybody else if you want to be free of dictatorship and tyranny, and not only involves the psychology, we've been talking about genuine interest in men like Freud, and others, and it also definitely deals with crowd psychology because Freud had read that book, and Freud was so moved by this double bonds work, that Freud's last book, which is called civilization and its discontents sort of harps on the same themes. He also got it from Oswald Spangler, the greatest story, and this was kind of going became Vogue at the time, there's a couple of people writing intelligently on mass psychology. I could name other books, you know, but just off the bottom is the number one, you wrote a thing called the psychology of socialism. It's a masterpiece, you know, then he wrote the crowd, the book you're talking about. So it's enormous, because as we get more and more nihilistic, right as the crowd the socialist socialism as a kind of Nihilism. Right? And as the world or the women or whoever you get more and more nihilistic, what does that really mean? Right, it really means that they've run out of kicks, run out of thrills run out of champagne, right? In other words, by the time somebody is about 30, in a ticket, typical woman, right, that woman has partied and hoared and just live in a sensational bubble for most

of that time, with man being the you know, not only a host late, but a person who facilitates and provides the cushions who provides the electricity, who provides the products and the commodities that just take this extremely hedonistic class, or species or whatever, in gender, whatever. They're just flies them with pleasure from morning till night. So as Freud said, then you may be grown up, but you have not left the pleasure principle. In fact, the cities today are basically the pleasure principle in stone, you know, a lot of TV channels and magazines and all the bullshit of it, right? So this extremely narcissistic group of people, namely, women have dominated our society calling the shots, and the man is just still mostly a blinkered unic. Right sort of castrato that just poppers about maybe maybe has the image external image of a male beefed up and six pack and oh, yeah, all the cliché Hollywood shit, but underneath his puff pastry, and most women, most men if you push them that is exactly the feminine and hear their feminine in their minds. So it's not bad to be feminine, but it is bad to be ultra feminine, where you lose your masculinity. Okay, so then what happens to a 20 something 30 something woman who's exhausted all her hedonistic appetites, that person is so vague, invaded, so guarded and emaciated. And, you know, so utterly eviscerated as a human being as a being because they just blended themselves with pleasures. Where's the last time. So using post op lavonne, as you know, the philosopher so to speak, I see that the crud is the last kick, all the kicks are dead, you're burned out, you're jaded, you're bored. So the emptier that you are, the more that you gravitate, probably somewhat unconsciously toward the last kick, which is the sort of collective orgasm provided by the crowd. So the crowd is top heavy with women, right? Probably always has been, but certainly is now. And it's empty, they're empty, they're empty headed, and they're empty, emotional, all that all the other gigs of endless sex and breeding your child ever younger. See, that's why this women are breeding younger and younger. It's not because they have any interest in children. It's another kick. It's another look at me, it's all born of the narcissist. But not even that has exhausted itself, because they don't know how to raise their children in sports. It's like having a pup, then you throw it on the freeway, I'm sick of that. That's the crimes are happening right in front of our faces, and nobody's even addressing it right? But I'll address it right. So the thing is that these women that have become so pathologically bored, and pathologically narcissistic and shallow and empty, that the last gig for them is what we see in the streets today. We call it socialism, or, you know, social justice warriors that's never been more abused term. It's just a bunch of empty, vapid people trying to cluster so that whatever spark they can get of this high again, the thrill, you know, and they are getting it courtesy of politics. They are getting it temporarily, but even that will fade away and then we'll be in a nihilistic nightmare. Very, very soon.

24:56

Yeah, sometimes I consider myself - How do I do my bit to make this better? And then I sit there and go, well, it's not up to me to make it better. I've just got to work on myself and individually, if you like, what would you suggest to the to the person like myself, who's doing years of studying everything like yourself, but years of study, I spend most of my day reading and making notes and whatever else and creating content for people, what would you say to us to make our surroundings better?

25:32

People do a lot, like I've done. I mean, that's all you can do, right? Just because I'm more known and all you know, not everybody could even give a shit about that. If I live my life over again, I would have done it in a completely different way. But again, if you want to go towards you know, podcasting, and that's really good. And even making films and you know, going into deep, but see all the all the notes

that you're taking, so you can be a script tomorrow, or say a series. So you start working with other guys on a series and they run out of ideas, you know, you can you can you if you've been making notes for years, like I've seen, I've kept meticulous notes, I can now a drop of a hat, you know, create a 10 part series, say just on a female psychology alone, or you know, pick whatever subject catastrophism right, I can do that. So it's not a waste. So I would just say continue that current state of fuck away from people. That would be another, you know, recommendation of mine. Because they're toxic. Yeah, there's a lot of problems right now. Always to stay sane. You stay close to the masters of the past, you know, all the people that live in my work, live close to their work.

26:36

What do you think of Heidegger and his work on authenticity?

26:38

We're, yeah, probably the greatest philosopher ever lived, obviously, because you're through all the other traditions. I've still got a lot more work to do on Heidegger, you know, there's different things coming up. I just did this month I did two on Heidegger lengthy things on existentialism and nothingness. So yeah, he's the number one guy. And he answered the philosophical problems that nobody else was really talking about. To tell you the truth, you know, there were a few other forebears of his, I'm sure he even drew upon them - Otto Rank being one of them. My suspicion is that Otto Rank played a big role, and others like on rebirths on in France, and, you know, Brentano. So it's not that Heidegger didn't have pre-accusers, Soren Kierkegaard would have been one of the only the difference there is that your job as a Christian and Heidegger was not. So it's not that hard to get didn't have priests, accusers. But by God, that still doesn't mean that anything could reach the level of his insight. So yeah, you know, forebears you may have had but my god their thinking wasn't like is by any chance. Probably Merleau-Ponty and France who was a follower of Heidegger, he'd be the next one. I would say that be close. Close. Merleau-Ponty and Heidegger and Heidegger Merleau-Ponty. That's, that's it. And if you could just study their work alone, my God, enlightenment would come to you.

27:41

Hmm. Yeah, I remember you saying before that, I can't remember where he said it. But it was something along the lines of I know, my limitations as human being and I need to keep as close to these people as I can, because I'm not a genius. But these people are geniuses. So I need to surround myself with these people's work. And that's sort of stuck with me.

28:22

Yeah. And so yeah, the hard way, living in America, you know, yeah, it was a terrible experience. And I'd already been studying, you know, the greatest thinker. There's two thinkers before Heidegger that Heidegger relies on the most and that was a Friedrich Schelling, the German thought, and ran America, right, the poet. And I'd already been aware of their work, right? Like reading in the document, not getting, but just loving it purely from a sensational, you know, and that kind of point of view, just loving it. And then came across the philosophical, you know, component of their, of their portrait, their portrait is one thing, and then, you know, I didn't know that Heidegger until I'd read Heidegger that, Oh, my God. He's explaining what these guys he's influenced by them. And he's explaining in a philosophical idiom, what they're saying in portrait. So that was a really positive experience. But I've been reading

them in the 80s. I've been reading really trying to tease it tease out what he was talking about, you know, so yeah, again, I was doing that already. But then by the time that I got to reading Heidegger himself, that was like 91. It was what you just said, then became, and this was included ein Rand and Gustav La Bon, and a lot of other people, but it really became a dictum, where I wouldn't even talk to people, you know, I'd put my Walkman on. Then in the hours before work, I go to a sandwich shop sit there, you know, maybe I'd have a chat with the guy who wasn't too busy. And sit there and read for just a little hour trying to preserve a little hour then the lunch break, and then afterwards, you know, so I just shut myself off off, and really hung up the phone didn't, didn't go to parties didn't didn't have anything to do with people. You know, I tried to make every single minute of my time because I just realised how much time had been lost in the streets of Belfast, I realised that my life there, and the troubles, you know, had killed so much time, so much valuable time. So when I got to the States, it was hunker down, it was really like a crash course I gave myself, you know, not not a not in a panic mode, but almost like an urgency. It was I would call it urgency, not panic, but urgency. And that urgency, you know, it did me well, I'm glad looking back, it was the right thing to do. All right, else could you get through, I've read the collective works of Carl Jung no less than five times I've read all the words of LA Waddell ,Eustace Mullins, all the other psychology that exists, you know, I really do know these subjects, how else could you even do that? timewise if you're working a full time job, which I did you know, that life will eat you alive, life will eat you alive. So the pursuit of knowledge, you know, you have to then shut off the phone, and you have to tell people No, you're not going to come to the stupid barbecues and all you know, and and you really have to do study, I was on holidays, technically. And I never even saw the places that I was in a crate of books dragged through the airports with me. You know, there's particular times when that happened, where I wasn't even able to enjoy or savour a proper holiday on the continent, or, you know, wherever else we had gone, even in America, because of the studies I was doing. You're going to read the collected works of Gerald Massey.Maybe sitting there, but I'm not there. I'm somewhere else. I'm an ancient Egypt now. You know, and I don't regret it.

31:42

Yeah. Do you think that suffering holds the key to like, mental liberation? So do you think it holds the key like, you know, because we're that society at the moment, as you say, there's hedonistic at the moment. everyone just wants to feel happy and electrically buzzing all the time. Do you think running towards suffering and actively welcoming it is where actually the key to enlightenment is?

32:08

Yeah, as long as you include your attitude toward suffering, see, see. See, other people have said it like Schopenhauer that you know, life is meaningless without suffering. That's true to a point. But suffering is in the world, people do suffer, and they're going to suffer some more than others. what really counts, and this was brought up by CS Lewis. In his work, I don't know if it was the screwtape letters or something else, that it's your attitude toward the suffering. Somebody can suffer quite unbearably, and then come out and walk the streets like a fucking robot, like they haven't changed at all. I've actually witnessed this myself. And as somebody who might just, you know, have a little sort of temporary moment of suffering, and it can radically change their whole life forever. You know, so again, it's a matter of degree. But yeah, I would say absolutely right. You know, the Schopenhauer statement is very, very true. And of course, it happens on a social level as well. What we're seeing right now is a

little bit of the dark night of the soul for a whole continent or a whole nation, you know? Oh, yeah. You know, so that that can be a catalyst to awakening. Absolutely.

33:13

Yeah, yeah. Let's talk a little bit about Gnosticism. Michael, I know you cover that quite a lot. And you tend to have a completely different outlook compared to normal, mainly for putting Gnosticism in on Google. And there for example, all you get is telling everybody gnosticism is, you tend to have a different outlook on that one.

33:30

Yeah. Yeah. You know, sad that that's the way because before the internet, it wasn't, it was lots of fantastic gnostic books. There were gnostic societies, right? I nearly drawn one myself before I knew better. This would be in the centre. This would be more of a Palo Alto area. Look, and they're decent people, right? They're all they're all. A great many of them are completely misguided. You know, I'm talking about study I've done for best part of 30 years. That was one of the ones that I was doing back in the 80s. I read all the Dead Sea Scrolls people, I mean, all of them, right? I know the best ones, Hershel Shanks and Barbara theorising and Hans Jonas and Gillis, Crystal, you know, I wanted to town on that shit, right? And then I started to wean myself off of it, you know. But, but to find out why Stephen holler would be another one. You talked about Jung earlier, right? So I went through all this stuff. And I saved from it the best that was in there, right. But then I started to notice in consistencies as long story won't go into it. But then that led me to realise that oh my god, they've mixed this all up with hermeticism. And then I train myself not being a very high IQ person and not being intelligent. I train myself, you know, more mechanically to work, like, Where's this coming from? Or where's that coming from? And then slowly, you know, if you watch enough movies and documentaries on it, and read enough books on it, because there are really good movies on it as well actually. Then you start to get what went on that at some several points in history right back in the Renaissance at the troubadour period of the 11th century, and then moving up into the, you know, different eras. closer to our time, there was a little bit of sleight of hand. And Gnosticism, realising that it was empty and weak and false, and basically perverse started to add mixture with elements of a more more pure traditions, they basically appropriated and stole from other traditions. And so the mix the mix that you get now that, as you said, looks really wonderful. And you know, the Gnostics are enormously funded as well. Some of the see when we talk about these Davos people. And when we talk about these people in the UN, you know, we're not aware that they're all Gnostics. By the way, that creature that's Schwab right is a gnostic, so is Bill Gates, all of them are the Oprah Winfrey cart, totally the whole nine yards. But we just have lost the you know, the filter to be, we don't know how to diagnose for we don't have the metre anymore. So, but the decoration of the temple of Gnosticism has occurred. disingenuously right. Some Christians are onto that, which I'm glad to see. They kind of know that, and a few others here and there, but really, it hasn't read. The thing is so powerful. The thing is so utterly wealthy, that it is one of the great threats. So whenever I talk about feminism being a threat - Gnosticism is another threat that might look a lot of people who don't know better, because they've got a lot of losers. You know, the Tarot has been misappropriated by the Gnostic, they try to use it for themselves, things like that. And a lot of other hermetic principles have been sort of stolen, put in there. And, you know, mixed up so well that you couldn't tell the difference. I'd have this big conversation, when I got to America, actually, with a person that I knew, who was studying arduously, all of these matters, have been getting into a lot of

this content, I was very confused. So I did my best to you know, so as always, I always remember that because my first launch point, within the first few days of being in America, we suddenly need deep and conversational gnosticism and stuff. So never ever forget that, you know, that was I was actually surprised that this man, there's so much about it. And we have some wonderful conversations about the subject and help me get things straight as well. By the way, at that time, there was a surfeit of television programmes, you know, on Gnosticism. So it's actually a really, it really reached a peak around the 1992 93. Period. Gnosticism was really an at that time, much more than it is now actually.

37:23

Because a lot of it's to do with light isn't it? Full light and the luciferic light that blinds you and you don't know where you're going. But they use light and the sun in particular as a as a symbol.

37:35

That's right, that's right. Very much abused. Also the it's a philosophy of darkness to because if you have light, you have to have the opposite. So the way that they frame that duality, now you're getting into the essence of why it's all wrong, because there is a dualism, and I call it the contrary them at the root of all consciousness of the root of all reality. But the Gnostic you know, it's like some guy standing at the back of a conference hall go well, she said, Well, she said, I can't hear I can't hear. And you're just getting little snippets of the truth, right? And then not everyone's at the back and forth. And everybody goes, dude, you you things upside down. The script is upside. Didn't you hear what the guy was? was too far back? I couldn't hear it. Well, that's what happened. There is a bonafide a story of the of the unfolding of, you know, the contrarian and the dualism, the positive disharmony, it's called what's it called dialectical materialism. It's a legitimate philosophy and many of the ancient you know, races back and alexandrian all had it, and they had a good idea of it. The gnostic is a perverse, fragmentary, wholly insufficient, you know, ultimately corrupt retelling of a very, very sacred story. So but you know, I've done what I can to try and change people around them. I think a lot of people are getting it That's good. I've seen a lot of positive you know, people like yourself getting it and that falling into the trap. It is an interesting study I always tell people always study the Dead Sea Scrolls study the Gnostic Gospels. Study the Nag Hammedi library for grimacing This is aspect of Christianity mysticism, Middle Eastern history, by all means study it, but be very wary getting involved in theologically in it

39:06

Would you consider you know, like the the white people that are now like, putting themselves in fucking robes and stuff and sitting on the, you know, on the pedestal at the front, and you got people kneeling down to them and stuff? Would you consider that in the same breath? Is that as your gnostic cult?

39:23

Yeah, from what I've seen it because I was deeply involved, not me. I was deeply involved with people who were into all of that, like my grandfather was a very, very prominent teacher in LA and throughout the world. He was loosely affiliated with Krishna Moody, who I really liked a lot. Rudolf Steiner, I come from a tradition. And they they bowled arised. All these people in my family and people around, they did it for all egoistic, narcissistic reasons to have to fill their empty life. There's no mystery about it, right? They were empty as people that haven't done any study, and so they joined the first cult that came along. Got into those Robots rolled a few beats, you know, start becoming vegetarians and talking a lot

of shit. You know, I'm a vegetarian as well but you see, I saw what they were doing it for trendy they were doing it for the trendy version and trying to be holy. Not because the love of animals, right they were doing this whole everybody else's I'm gonna do it to do it. You know? It's just nonsense and the whole thing to me look like nonsense. And then hidden in the middle of it somewhere, you know, you find some pure stuff but yeah, it was so bogus, especially the California version of it, you know, multimillionaires, you go up to an ashram, I was I was not a member but I was associated with so many of these places a lot of them in the Bay Area, California, and you drive up to one of them in the hills or whatever. And as you're pulling in, you just see about a million dollars worth if not more of cars in the carpark there's no bangers or jumpers. It's all super wealthy Bentley's and Cadillacs and Lincoln Continentals and you know, she, she, and then you walk into the ashram, you know, and I liked it fair enough, you know, quiet place to sit to meditate, look at the hills, you know, not being a part of a tribe is even part of some Islamic cults. Right, you know, the Naqshbandi Sufi order I was I was initiated into that, hey, I would go there the streets of the noisy barriers that they're on the carpets and just be quiet on my own. They didn't include me and I said, Look, I just want to come here you know, I'm a study of world religion and on there Well, welcome brother no fucking problem, you know, hoping that you recruit you later on. But I used to go there. I've been to so many temples and places just to sit, absorb the energy and listen to the Arabic I mean, what they're talking about, just to get out of the commerciality of America. Right. So I've been part of a lot of different things. But then I would turn and see these other people, you know, who are so stinking false, having dates, cheating on their husbands cheating on their wives. You know, while they're part of an ashram, I mean, just the whole nine yards really want to get into it. But it goes back to giving you these false smiles and Pat's on the back. I don't know I'm from Belfast, I have a very strong metre for bullshit. And that's all I ever unconsciously tell ya,

42:00

yeah, I'm gonna quote from your article. In words we trust you say the words of these charlatans eventually, definitely auto log, the hypnotised and slowly goaded into practising the techniques of self hypnotism. None of it would be possible without the power and magical influence of words in our minds. So it's not simply about mega success, attainment, prosperity and living like a king. It's not about confidence and thinking positively. That's the great collective fallacy of our age. No, it's simply about hearing someone speak about it. It's your addiction to this that the con men rely upon, and it's what makes them rich. And that was an article from, from your article 'in words we trust'. I don't think there's much to be expanded on there. But I think it goes hand in hand with what you're saying there that the power of the spoken word with from these people and people just get lured into it.

42:53

Yeah, sounds good. Did I wright that.

42:57

Yeah, exactly. Exactly. That's exactly what came out.

43:03

Jesus here? Oh, yeah. See, the self deception is what that's about. Right. It's not that there are not great truths. But these men don't have it, they have the, you know, they're like a hand puppets, right, who know how to speak the sophistry is basically what it is, and it's ruled our world for many 1000s of

years. So that's just a warning not to get involved in it, you know. And that's why even do take on board a lot of the criticisms in psychoanalysis, because that became pop as well. So, you know, everything that was started well, with well, meaning people does get corrupted, that we just have to accept that we're not in a capitalist society, we're in a community, we're in a consumer society. We don't even know what capitalism is yet, as people like Macy's, a bit like iron, Rand explained it. I mean, you know, we may never be, although the way socialism is going right now. Maybe a reaction and, you know, a miracle could happen, but, or, you know, I mean, I'm even praying every night that China falls, Hong Kong pulls the red switch, and like, our economy smashed, you know, so there could be all sorts of silver linings. So, you know, it would be great Wouldn't it to live in the age in which we see the end of because remember, why did China not, you know, followed Russia's lead Russia, the big heavy, the big bowl, the big bear of communism fell of China, what do you What's wrong? Me? You even have more people in Russia, you could have stepped out of socialism and communism at any given time. What's your problem? What is this relic? What is this crisis? The dinosaurs still existing in an Eastern world? Can we be done with it that, you know that in other words, the plug should have been pulled on that long time ago? It has to happen internally, or maybe a little help from the capitalists in you know, in Hong Kong? Maybe it will. That's what I pray for every night, you know, so I think we're still ready to see some wonders happen. Right now, even on the political phase, but yeah, it's very, very important that people watch out for these soothsayers, you know, these wordsmiths? Very, very important that we listen to what they say. And don't turn off our own critical apparatus.

45:02

Yeah, I agree. You mentioned I've run a couple of times. She gets a lot of shit out. And she particularly in America, generally from people who have absolutely no understanding of her work at all. You mentioned you had a conversation yesterday, I think we David Whitehead, and you mentioned psycho epistemology. It might have been with David. I've been with Keith Knight actually. And you mentioned psycho-epistemology. Can you expand on that a little bit?

45:31

Yeah, psycho-epistimolgy. Oh, by the way, I just want to say autologue was the term used by Thomas Dawes. He came up with that term, before the self autologue, I just want to make that clear. That's not my term. And psycho epistemology is not my term either. That is from Ayn Rand and her people. It's a term Nathaniel Branden, Leonard peikoff. And Rand used, it's a really ugly word, but all it really means is the ability to turn upon yourself and examine yourself, right, which everybody can do, but very few people like to do. And in part, as part of that self examination, it's very value, you know, they were trying to put the focus on values. So it's not just the ability to turn and say, What am I thinking? You know, it's like the cat and red dwarf, how am I looking? How am I looking now? Right? every five minutes, right? But that's in psycho epistemology. terms, it's how am I thinking, my thinking, now, what am I thinking about? Right? So you have this ability, and she just wants you to use it. Because there's so much deception, both from external parties, and then from into inside yourself, you've got to be doing that constant checking, constant checking, constant checking, not in the mirror, like the cat does, to check his appearance, but in the mirror of your consciousness. And she wanted you to know, she wanted children to be aware of this and nothing, it was an erotic tendency, but to see it well. So that you can always be analysing through your reason. Because reason is, you know, she didn't say God given but obviously, it was it's given. It's the supreme aspect of consciousness that everyone should

worship, and through the reason, you're able to then check the content of your being in your mind. And that's what psychophysiology all courses, nobody has it anymore. They've all let that go. And they want the, you know, the one George Soros to tell him, you know, and if it wasn't him, it'd be some other demagogue. You know, everybody wants somebody to tell him what to think. And this is psychophysiology. It's the total opposite of that. It's how you think what you think about, and whether you're monitoring your thoughts in a healthy way.

47:27

Not because responsibility, though. And people don't want to do that today.

47:32

No, they don't. No, no.

47:33

Do you think Eric Newman was right? He believed that the fear of nature is the manifestation of the fear of our psyche? And that's why we live in the planet to pieces. Would you agree with that?

47:47

Totally. And you know, that that's another great question, Alex. That's correct. I do Yeah. Because psyche and nature are what Shelling said that, you know, the spirit is visible nature, nature is, nature is visible spirit. And the Spirit is invisible nature. There's so much wisdom in that, but translated step done for people to get it, it just means that your psyche, the deeper psyche, right, because the other psyche is mostly society's construction. But way below that is the natural order, you know, a natural law, right, that runs below. And so absolutely, so our fear of nature and the cutting of bushes and trees and shrubs and clearing out what you see in Britain all over the place now. All of that you see in America, too, but it's really rampant. Since the 80s, in America, in England, the Ogilvy family some of these heavy duty aluminous families, but not everybody is doing every job was tarmacking, their their garden ticket with a graph. You know, people have to be observant of this just to park their stupid car right up against their windows and all this nonsense. Right there. That's a creative nature. And that is exactly articulated to this desire to escape their own psyches. That's a really big one. Yeah. Yeah, huge.

48:58

And you had, for example, Gustav Le Bon again, thought that the collective hive mind was a will to return back to the womb for comfort in that way.

49:10

So, as I say, absolutely huge subject will arrive.

49:15

Wilhelm Reich, very similar, but he actually I believe, thought that it was to do with the it was actually psychosomatic. And you see today, people are so fat and overweight and everything and it's like the manifestation of the psyche. That's not a physical problem - that's a mental problem.

49:31

Of course it is. It's part of this dynamic we're just talking about when you dissociated from your own being so much, the body will suffer. Right? And so the armoring takes on a spot right? A lot of people don't realise that they look at armoring as being you know, spastic ated muscles. Yeah, it is on one level, but if you read deeply into you know, the other Reicheins, they will tell you that it's clear that blubber is a form of pillowing and armoring. So we see it, we see it in our society, then. feminists committed to but it's no it's all goddess that the lies that are telling, right? It's got nothing to do with anything but psychology. And they say that it's a manifestation of the great great sadomasochistic master slave relationship that you have first of all with your body, then with your children, and then with other people, neighbours and then society. So what you see at the top is what you see at the bottom and vice versa. All and that's what we have to do you have to read about what should we be doing? Study the enemy, study the socialism, take it apart, find out read good stuff, the bond and then the other people and random and you don't have to go much further than nine run. That's the crash course in it right? For goodness sake. So once you've really mastered what they they're talking about, then crowd consciousness will be transparent. And like I said, the vapid, gross, hedonistic monstrosities that are make up the crowd, the social justice warriors, why are they top heavy? with people you know, like that? Is because that's what's going on. They've come they've already had their bodies and brains colonised by kind of meme, right, which is anti self anti individualistic, anti alpha, anti heroic, you know, so there's no there's no humour involved in this. This is this is the sad decline of Western civilization into a big mud pile. You know, and what you can do about it, study it, study the dynamics of it, don't despair or anything like that, you know, because man will always build another civilization. These nitwits are just going down on their own you know, they don't have their our faintest idea what manliness heroism and masculinity is, and that will never die. They're going to be parents, they're gonna die. They're just killing themselves will build again, no problem. At all issue, we've had civilizations rise and fall and whatever Do you consider the us right now in a state of decay, in that sense that it is actually falling? Yeah, definitely has been seen. And what only thing that my message has a different message wrinkle is that it's been it's been doing that almost since the beginning. You know, we've done podcasts and I'm gonna do some more. We're calling it from different angles. Recently, we did a show on the Puritan conspiracy, right, in the sense that everybody's looking for some Jewish conspiracy, no, and there's a big elephant in the room they haven't seen and that is that the Puritan Christian conspiracy is already lit the fuse of the decay that you're seeing today. It's a little difficult to follow. So we've had to do meticulous, you know, podcasts on so people get it. But in short, the very thinking of the of these Puritans, and these pilgrim fathers was already so communist, and distorted and murderous, right. Like if it did vanish at the centre, and that the centre came to visit a neighbour or just happened to be on the territory, they'd hang, they burn the person, they'd arrest them and burn them just for physically walking on the road. There's no demarcation so you could easily be fooled. You could even come and visit one of your relatives left even banished from the bloody stupid, you know, Pennsylvania or wherever the hell in Virginia, you know, and that's just a little part. And those guys were the first multiculturalists, the first screamers over formed in terms of minorities, or they brought in all of this pestilence. And it was already America hadn't even been funded yet. The Civil War hadn't even taken place yet. And already the serpent was in the garden. So you know, that's just one approach, then there's others, right? All the way across the line, you can go to Jim Keith, you can go to you know, the people who talk about the federal awards getting in place in states rights being you know what, minimised. There's a many, many ways to come at it. But my general point is, folks don't think this is happening. In the last five years, America has been in the State of Decay for centuries. The

experiment died a long time ago, I have an article on my website called constitution con. If this is new to people go there, you know, read that, and then go, go look at our podcast called feminization of America. That's the one we do all of this analysis of the Puritans will expand people's insights into the nature of the decay, the State of Decay.

54:02

Yeah, yeah. You mentioned Jim Jim caves and people like that to do with the conspiracy, or written article, not long back about, like the psychology of conspiracy, or thought and how it works, but yeah, it works both ways where there's a lot of bullshit out there and incredible amount of bullshit, which a lot of people put into one mixing pot and call everybody conspiracy theorists and whatever, just for, you know, considering something slightly alternative. But also you get the other side of the coin that people just simply do not want to think about anything other than what's been told them through the television screen. What do you think? Yeah? Can you expand on like the psychology itself of conspiracy? Do you consider it like, spiritual work or would you within a certain context, or do you think it's even further than that? Do you think it's important for that for the human psyche to develop?

54:54

Definitely, I've always maintained that from the start, that anyone who thinks other than that is still having it together? Twheel has come off their vehicle, right? They're just going to go into the ditch at some point. And also don't you see? Like will hammer I said, Where do you think you could plough through all these lies with a heavy duty cache of cheat, or prana or energy, whatever you want to call it libido. So it's going to impact your life, you will, you will exhaust yourself mentally and go insane. Like so many people in this movement have actually gone insane, literally got stopped on the street grabbed onto a lamppost what have gone have lost it. And they were well meaning people, but they overburdened. Right, the upper cognitive centres, they didn't want granted, they were in their body. You know, a lot of new agers make this mistake as well. And then you fall into a sort of a gnostic paradigm in which you're negating your pleasures, and you're negating, you know, smelling the roses and all of that. So there's lots of ways to go wrong here. I've been probably experienced so many people going mad that I've known probably more than anyone in this mall. Yeah, it from the time I was about 14, it started by 15. Yeah, I started to see the casual the list. And I even knew other people who said that in the 60s, they had experienced friends like this, you know, going boo boo, but, but they were all taken off at an LSD, right? I knew had not really taken any drugs too much. It was more they were losing it because they were on the right path. But they, they lacked other things that lacked other grounding, or they were disinterested in their bodies. And what basically, and that was their minds have burned apart. So there's a lot of balances required it is holy work. I've always presented in my work as that. So my audience at least knows that from the start. So they're not going to listen to the song and then go down the wrong. Absolutely aware of not wanting anybody that listens to me that have that same problem, you know that I'm always talking about William rice and author janov and emotional processing, and then somatic intelligence, you know, I have to do that. It's almost like a disclaimer, because I know how deadly you can just get into your head, you just get into your left brain, you can just sit there in the attic, you know, going crazy, basically.

57:13

Yeah, Michael, this might be a very broad question, what do you consider freedom to be?

57:22

Well, it's all attitudinal, right? That's the first place. You can go into metaphysical speculations you can go into, like, we just did something on Kierkegaard, you know, in his concepts of that, that freedom is actually restricted. But then you go to Shelling and Kant who said, No, it's not. There's a whole conversation we're gonna have about freedom. And then you can say a political, it's given to me by some political edifice or my society, right. Karl Marx, they all believe that right? And yet, these are the most anti free people you could ever imagine. So freedom isn't the choice, right? It is one of those basic ontological things. But what what I focused on is that people don't want freedom they want freedom from freedom, right? This is a you know, Erich Fromm style. And and this is where the dangers arise, that freedom has been on line in front of you, you know, free of charge and easy to access. And yet, nobody seems to want that what they seem to want is the opposite. You know, and this starts on the parental level with a terrible parenting that's going on, has been going on from the dawn of time, just got worse and worse and worse. But like I said, I come from a Steiner tradition, you know, where they don't act like that. And Christian merde, where they certainly don't act like that. You know, so I come from a different tradition. So it's very palpable, for me to see the kind of nightmare and a lot of its crumbling, a lot of the reasons why things are happening in the world right now. Is because that old status quo of adult ism, I've written two books on that subject, right, that has to go. I mean, there's lots of reasons for the things that are happening that people just don't want to face. You know, there's no way that you know, parents are going to ever face this because parents are tyrants, the dictators, we have it right now, in this thing about gender and whether young children should be able to have sex changes at all. And where's the parent in all of this? How does it even get to the platform? Yeah, child, it's all the parents doing that goes open this conversation. They're the crazy ones who've so fucked up their children's identity, that that's what's what so I also look for idol ism. Instantly, as soon as I see anything you do even remotely right? with child welfare, or questions pertaining to children. I'm already not looking at the child. I'm looking at the victim that's being you know, dragged on chain and pushed from here to there by these imbecilic parents that are impervious to change. So when your job is to change that life comes in, hits you back. So many of the sociological changes that we're seeing right now is because that we've reached the nth degree of so much deception and lies and corruption and intractable people who will not change their ways, even when that's being offered to them the opportunities and the early days, you know, lovingly to change their ways and look at the subject, they haven't done it. I'm sure a critical mass now because of its people's ignorance is bringing this all apart.

1:00:11

Once again, it seems to boil back down to the hatred of self, it's not the ego has to carve the role of the master at this point. And there is no self selfhood left. It's just the ego of wired patterns, repressed emotions, and all that shit just playing itself out fractures in the psyche playing themselves out. And I suppose this is where empathy comes in. And it's like, as you said, they look at them as as a victim, sometimes rather than a, you know, a bit of a loose cannon.

1:00:45

Yeah, the ego is the construct of the society, right? Starting with the parents, and then the self is something different, right? It's the big mystery, that's striving to be hurt. And then the same, same metaphor, we just gave it by things reacting. So the self reacts. You see, the mistake of the false is that

you cannot repress the self. They really thought it could be caged and sounds forever big mistake. So there was already a flaw in your evil right from the beginning, that which is organic, and intrinsic and eternal. It cannot be, you know, ever hinterland that pure, even if you bring the whole world to try and do it, which is what they have done, American society, British society was set up for the self to be totally and utterly banished, and then the man of the South to be banished and censored. And that's part of the whole ultra feminization of our society. Because all the answers are there. All the answers that we need are actually in the writings of people like iron Rand, and Karen Horney. And Alice Miller. You know, it's all it's all there. But you know, Melanie Klein, but nobody wants to even Anna Freud, right? There's so many answers. Yeah. But nobody wants to listen, you know. So they're bringing about their own downfall. So you have to not get caught up in it. Like when I was in America, you know, amongst all of these false people, I had to. I mean, I'm in the Bay Area amongst those types. I moved dark, luckily. But while I was in that environment, I had to, you know, sort of screen myself make myself immune. And the best way to doing that was through recoil. But I sequester myself for study purposes, but you're still doing a full day's work every day, five days a week. So I started looking, I started to, you know, my attitude was a plutonic. One, then, is to say, Well, I can't do anything to you. I'm not a millionaire, I can't just you know, sign off. So, okay, I'm going to study your sorry, asses, I'm going to find out what makes you tick, or says nothing much back of it Anyway, after you do that, but nevertheless, it's a good exercise. And But see, I started years ago in like, late 80s, people are just starting to now. But that's okay. There's always a time and a place. Other people are new to this. So they're gonna begin this stuff, and they're gonna be frantic, and they're gonna say, Hey, I'm lonely. You know, my friends don't like me. I can't stand them. They can't stop me. What am I doing? I'm falling apart. You know, this is raising suicides, and all of us, for the doctor was the stuff before, you know, medication he would do. So testing. Lovely. So the way you step back from that is to get okay with your discontent realise that there's reasons for discontent. They're perfectly rational, really, you don't need medication. That's the last thing you need, because there's nothing wrong with you. You're responding to what Krishnamurti called an abnormal society. It doesn't mean you're broken, know, the parents are broken, the society is broken, the school teachers are broken, well let them break apart, let them break apart and fall, something beautiful will come of it. I already see even this week that some mainstream newspapers in Britain are very much complaining about the lockdown and it's really sincere way. It's like the media suddenly starting to get it now, Donald Trump is out of the way they don't need to focus on that. So they're going back to normal stories. And even even these even these socialistic journalists still want to drink at the pub and take their kids out to the beach and so it's going to black it's always going to backfire even people that you know were champions the last four years that's because they had to write to editors told me to keep your job you're going to do this at the other and they'll still be a lot of people you know playing that tune, but there's a lot of people are not and I'm already seeing evidence over the going hey, maybe these anti vaxxer guys are right. You see it's already seen in the Telegraph, the Daily Mail, and others so you know that Scotland's in a total mess. Boris Johnson is back tracking on Brexit. Marine Le Pen is getting stronger every day in front. there's a there's a conservative blowback happening. Even in Sweden, the most hostile feminised country in the fucking planet, Hungary and other places is so yeah, they push too far with their narrative. And it's a narrative that already have broken down. That's why communism felt that these networks get in the back in 1990 and 91. Right. First, the Berlin Wall comes down about a year or two later, like but by 92. Anyway, Russia was destroyed. Right. The whole Soviet socialistic model fell and crashed. It's grown and only the most perverse the draconian version of it in China was left. What are the socialists thinking? The

paradigm and the encyclopaedias of the world tells you it doesn't work. Even with all the billions behind it, the crowds rushing into the street and breaking windows, the paradigm is busted. So I'm just waiting for the detritus. I've said on day one, you know, in my podcast on Unslaved that actually what you're seeing is the death throws of a ideology that knows it's on its last legs. And this is the thrash, the last thrashing around the last party of smashing chairs and making a big noise. But actually, if you study beneath it is because the grind has already been pulled from them. And there's nowhere to go but down. And that's, you know, that's why that's a hold to that to the end that that's true.

1:05:34

Yeah, yeah. Once again, no oboes Dare to Salford isn't it, you can't go out to the crowd for the answers to anything to everything that, you know, resides within, which is way in my opinion, the main focus of people's lives should be their own self development in the real context of the word not not the bullshit in the personal development industry, but real inner development and selfhood. Because one of the things that you sort of fall on you might have been the same molecule is though, some of the books here, particularly Eastern books, that talk about transcend, transcending the self, whereas Western psychology and philosophy is more, making yourself whole Jungian type self? Did you ever fall down that like, you know, the transcendence of self and their wholeness of self? If you like, did you ever have that dichotomy within you?

1:06:27

No, but there was a time it was about 1986, when I got so deeply involved with Eastern, you know, I'd always been part of it, a lot of Eastern, and then there was special movements that I belong to, that in order to commit to the next step that would have been worth it definitely would have led. But before I got to that step number 1986. That, you know, I saw through the lies, so to speak. So everything I talked about in my work is from personal experience, right? I know exactly what that is called the, you know, sign the road of Orthodoxy, the rule of dogma was, it was a pretty much an Eastern religion, right, so to speak, which has a huge Western following as well. Then I saw the other the road, you know, the road of design, which is to say, No, something smells wrong, I was able to smell a rat. And I followed it up, and I found out it's not good. So I slowly backed off, the whole process took a little bit longer. But but right from that point, I knew that this is not something I really want to follow. You know, it had other things I liked about it. So I, I stuck very loosely. But bit by bit year by year, as you know, especially then after I came to America, then you know, for my longer sojourn in right up to the present day, then it's like, yeah, that was what 1990 then I started to get it right. But I did it, I did it very much slowly, because I was also studying what I was doing. I was going over the path, I was walking back up and back down, and I wasn't just going okay, I'm finished with this. Now, is there any other other herbs, you know, like some fucking, you know, a buffet, I was looking for another path to replace, you know, or one illusion to replace another illusion, I was meticulously studying the subject, so that other people who are trapped in that same path, I could easily dialogue, it will actually happened on numerous occasions, none of them changed one bit, but I certainly shook them up, you know, so I would be able to turn into those people, even these, even the leaders of a group like that I could, I could have looked them in the eye and had a dialogue with them. So that's what I did differently than just leave it move on to the next, you know, sensation. I studied, it thoroughly. Got to find a lot of but I could write a book on it, actually, you know, and I even uncovered other previous people who could also shine light on the falsity of this particular path. So you're quite right point. But had I not done that? Had I not in some way

had the second thoughts? I wouldn't have liked to have seen what I would have become. So absolutely. I would have lost my mind, I would have lost my discrimination, you know. And then those techniques that I worked out pretty much on my own because this is back in the 80s wasn't on the internet. There wasn't any instructional videos, there was very few books. There's really nothing except the books that they gave you to indoctrinate you, right. But I worked at it with my one role model, just as you said earlier about, you know, it's really about going within. Well, I did that and I found the secret left it honoured that intuition. And then I took that set of tools into other areas in the following years, when I was maybe critiquing other things like Christianity or Gnosticism.

1:09:38

In India, you had Sri Ramana Maharshi, who is like a proper Eastern guru, if you like, and about 500 miles away you had Sri Aurobindo, who was like, I mean, I've seen quite a lot of his work and completely opposite ends of the spectrum in terms of like how they live - their life paths could be seen as enlightened. Would you agree with that? Would you say for example, Ramana Maharshi was not enlightened?

1:10:07

That's right. I wouldn't believe that. They think they are. Okay. So let's put it this way. There's enlightened people. And then there's those who think that they're enlightened Ramana Maharishi Swami Vivekananda, you know, Paramahansa Yogananda. All of these characters in my eyes were diluted utterly, they're not enlightened at all. And that, you know, that gets into a big story, right? Whereas Sri Aurobindo, yeah, was it was a properly enlightened, you know, man, because he hit the, like you say, coming from the other end of the spectrum. By enlarge, with very few exceptions, all of the Eastern traditions are bogus. And, and it's I mean, it's even if you go back to where they started, right? They all started in the pre Vedic period, where nobody believed anything that they believed later. Right. So the personas, the perush is the Vedas, the opponent shots, they're all false documents, right? They're all appropriated from a much earlier ethos. When people were more the ARIA, the high Aria, right, who thought totally differently. Sri Aurobindo is trying to go back to that, and I'm in favour of that, right. Albert Pike wrote extensively on this. He knew all the five languages of India, and he went extensively on the Vedas, and his work is invaluable there. And there's other writers as well, Gerald Massey, this is such an extraordinary scholarship there. Right. And when you start following that, you know, like Mercia, Elliot, would be another one that really wrote on this, and then you start to see the pattern. Now I was I was in one of these paths. You know, I believed I didn't believe but you know, your your reading and taking it for what it says in its own manuals? Well, yeah, of course. through reading the story, I mean, where's the contradiction side? And what is it what the funny thing happened? One of the, one of the while I was researching these things, right, and then when the internet finally did come on, I'd already made the changes long before and it broken away. But when the internet came on, you know, as you do a curiosity, the things you were into in the past go, Hey, I want to see about old show our programme is to watch this on, if they've got it online. Well, I did this with this path. And sure enough, all the workings out that I've done was confirmed because I found out there was actually five other paths all rivalling in India, calling themselves under the same name. All claiming that their master was the original master from the original Master, blah, blah, and all of them saying the same thing. I thought, oh my god, I wish I had this 10 years before. Then I was looking at this up right. There was a sick website in England, I think was an English guy since long gone. I can tell you why. This was a

monumental site on Sikhism being as fake as hell as well, that, that by the time that the 10th Sikh Guru had, you know, ended his career and from Sikhism to Sikhism was actually really formed after 10 Sikh masters, the actual order of the Sikhs was quite late in the day, so it's only about 200 years ago. All right, I find out from this website that there was 36 different brands, the only word I can use for Sikhism, right? Where each one of these 10 famous Sikh gurus, you know, if you open the encyclopaedias, that's all he can say, in a sense, because not all of us are brought up, you know, in those traditions, no, but this, it's a great history and all the rest of it. Each one of those people had a cousin or a family member who pretended to be the next master. And there's 10 of them. And there was multiple people around them said he left it to me, no, he left it to me like some crazy Monty Python skit. And it turned out that by the 10th, Guru, there was over 36, rivalling cults, all calling them the legitimate secret is, you know, to be the patriarchs of the movement. So it's the same with Christianity and all the different denominations of Christianity, but I didn't know that in terms of the East. And then I continued assiduously studying sadly, that website was removed before I knew how to copy it away or take some information from it disappeared, but I've remembered it to this day. And so I saw through the bogusness of it, and then I did a deeper study to go back to the origins, the pre Vedic origins. And boy God, is that a path? You got to read some pretty wild people right to go back and if I all hell, this is where it comes from, they all plagiarised the great work of the ancient Arya and chewed it up and Mangle it so bad that you can't even recognise the original anymore.

1:14:33

And does that got any thinking to link with Atlantis?

1:14:37

It has everything to do with if you see Atlantis as being the homeland in the West. Northwest you know the article homeland is another way to phrase it, but yeah, octopus, Polaris, ultimatula ,hyperborea there's a million names for it right? It's in the Bok saga. They've got their equivalent of it every every race talks about it and the area with the displaced ones after that crumble. fell, again through cataclysm. Sure what we call the Arya. It passed down through the steps of Russia, into Turkey, which is called Anatolia as a western country. Actually, people don't know that. And then, you know, into the Hittite Empire, and all over Egypt fled, and then over into India as well, you know, and I've got all that information on the Irish origins website for people. But yeah, it permeated a narrative flow back. There's a backflow later on in history. Also in the ad period, a lot of historians focus on that under well, meaning and that's the right to a degree, but what they don't understand is the really early prehistoric original movement, which, as I said, has been proven by Professor Tom. I've got the information on the Irish origins website about that. Absolutely conclusive, irrefutable, even to the most sceptical mathematician, that the West was the original homeland, the cradle of civilization, not the east, by the way, vedics dynasties, my family comes from one of them. They're only too happy to admit, they admitted their artwork, and it's in their traditions and they used to verbally before political correctness came to indeed, anybody from what's known as the jet level JT openly knew that their ancestors came from the northwest, no doubt about it, no shouting, no prevarication or no bullshit. All the upper classes of India accepted the Brahmin classes accepted and that they are the top class and even north in the northern Indian classes. When you go to the highest traditions, they accepted, it was part of their history. It's only through the usual level of political correctness, and shenanigans and lies that and people started to back off that and it became a taboo subject. Yeah, but no, come on. The truth can be no big. No way.

1:16:53

Yeah, yeah. And you know, that, that the Hermetic traditions and the mystical traditions, the divination arts and stuff, would you consider those though, going back to, you know, the area time aryan times as well, we'll just move on.

1:17:09

Now, though, they all go back to a very early tradition. The Zodiac is pretty much identical wherever you go on the world, maybe a few changes here and there, but they're very minor. The Zodiac as we know it, the temple of Indira, you know, Aryans had it. In fact, they had it in what was known as a stellar Zodiac. Right? They didn't even really, they acknowledge that there was the signs were familiar off. But that wasn't their focus. That was you could almost think of that as a secondary Zodiac. Right. The original zodiac, believe it or not, was what was called a circumpolar region. I've written two books on it the trees of life, although it's called trees of life, it's really about this we're talking about not. And that little Zodiac that's up there on the pole. It's called the polar Zodiac right of circumpolar stars. That was the original Zodiac of the absolute ancient area. Later on, who knows right shifting of the polar axis or just some other the solar cult taken over, it became the movement of the Sun through the 12th. And that's perfectly legitimate, nothing wrong with it. Right? As a matter of fact, is we're going to be doing on a podcast very soon. The heart of my work on astrology is the 88 constellations, the 12 are just a pathetic homogenization in and around the movement of the Sun are over 88 constellations that matter to the ancients, and they knew them all the Pegasus you know, what have you all feel this, there was a whole bunch more Canis Major, right. And then you can even break it down to individual stars. But that's, that's going to the extreme. Mostly, there was 88 constellations floating in and around what's known as the ecliptic, and cults of the world worship them. So today, when you see the symbol of the whale, or the dolphin, or even the shell, you know, bought a challenge of Venus coming out of the shell. That's all based in constellations. So the 12 that we know are the famous ones. But ancient cults also, like Native American Indians would worship Orion. Right or, or speaker, Speaker turns up as the stars in Virgo? It turns up in the inauguration of American the finding of many cities, how many people have ever even heard of that? And then you can go on, do this, people have written books on what's a sickness? You know, the constellation, they think they got a theory there, you know, so there's basically those 88 so when you have a Jonah being swallowed by the whale, or Samson fighting, the landlord will be too obvious. That's the deal. But you know what I mean, there's all sorts of other constellations turning up. I just can't think of any right now. But, you know, like, say the Masons have their beehive. Yeah, well, there's there is one, the manger that Jesus was put in, you know, the severed head of John the baptist, it's all up in the constellations. So to make this without going into detail, there are eight there's like 88 famous constellations in the Zodiac. That was the original Zodiac and there was the one worshipped throughout. The whole work before it became homogenised later on.

1:20:06

Fascinating stuff you can release a podcast on that.

1:20:10

Yes, I've done a lot of work on it. We're coming up this week with one on it good.

1:20:17

That will only be like an introduction to sidereal astrology but sure the thing is endless system is based in it that all all systems of astrology do to a certain extent incorporating what we've just said you to very, very small extent. But the these websites and YouTubes on astrology are pathetic, you know? Oh my god. They're just like the in the beginner this thing is ancient. This thing is absolutely many of the symbols like a unicorn and another symbol of the dolphin. These are the ones that spring to mind. The crown. The great chair Cassiopeia is thrown, you wouldn't believe that we are surrounded Scottish symbolism, Masonic symbolism, African symbolism is all filled with these constellations. We've totally forgot. You know, we don't even know what it is. So yeah, the change woman I mean, you could go on and on. And even in the Bible, you get all these motifs of Daniel in the lion's den, and it's all fine. It's all from the stars. It's all stellar. So the Zodiac is evolved over many years in different forms, and some retain the old form some had a new form, but by the time you get the 12, signs of the zodiac, it's already late in the day, there's already been much greater study from before that, and then I have an article on the female Illuminati website, even going deeper into that call from heaven to earth. People should check that out if there's even another story going even back to the dawn of you know, gyptian culture, where events in the sky also had an impact on how we read the sky on omens and superstitions on cygnets amulets, talismans religion is an incredible article. There's not even that long but it gives another spin on you know, celestial events, changing consciousness and changing the way we see symbols. So there's an animal subject.

1:21:58

Yeah. How important is the merging of Kabbalah? Tarot astrology. What have I missed? Numerology. Numerology is like a big holistic system. How important is that to like merge them all?

1:22:20

Oh, well, that was my original project in the 90s. Right. About 1999 or something like 90, I put my first website up, which was this mystery school, you know, the teachers this system. But that had gone back to 1988. You know, the studies of the primitive study of that, and the dislike of Western astrology, and the dislike of what's called vedic astrology, study both of those in fundamental adequate, quite seriously inadequate. Well, what do you do, then you do a Buckminster Fuller study. So you've got to develop your own system mate, or William Blake said, you know, your best comp or something of your own. And so this laborious task that sort of, you know, I didn't even want to be, oh, you know, these things pick you, you don't really pick them. So meticulous, you know, and again, basically, that some result was Yeah, I created a miscellaneous form of, you know, astrological divination. There's many misaligned like we said, this idea of the Vedic and the western, the tropical. Horror, horror, airy astrology is, there's not, there's nothing, I've done something different. There's many versions of divination. But the way I look at it is like this. There's many versions of tea, or there's many products of tea typhoo tea tips. But a tea leaf reader isn't bothered with what brand of tea it is when they're reading your tea leaves, right? So I took the same ideology, and said, it doesn't really matter what the astrology system is, you can get caught up in that. And there are people, you know, myself being one of them that need to do that for technical reasons. But see the general public and the on the people. And the simple idea of getting your fate read. You don't need to know what brand of tea it is. So I started working with both of those. The idea that, yeah, technically I've got to, you know, hunker down and study astrology to create a new system. But I'm always aware of pulling back from the canvas to say is it working? Why all

this industry if it's not yielding this the right information? And very quickly, I found that my system does yield a high yield of results and information the same as you get from all the complex systems, with all their computer programmes and fMRI and tables, and atlases help us as protractors and Allah I'm getting it that way, way simply. So then I designed the citizens and one of the other helps to get that to make the system work was to amalgamate not just one discipline, but all of them you know, even sacred geometry to do we can be plugged into this, but certainly numerology Kabbalah, astrology and Tarot, those were the main ones that say bring it together. And that gives you even more dynamism. You know, that easily each individual subject no matter how good they may be. They're weakened by the fact that they're not conjoined with the other great divination, arcs, and even the great schools like the Golden Dawn - They really didn't nail it properly. So mine is like a no lifetime attempt. That was my first first major work and a more of a vocational senseless to do that.

1:25:11

Yeah, you see a lot of people falling into these divination cards No, and a lot of the Gnostic false like type stuff as well, where they don't even scratch the surface to be honest. And then they go around selling astrology readings and tarot readings and all that, and it's causing more harm than good, in my opinion, how important is it to actually go into these topics and give them the respect that they actually deserve?

1:25:34

Important. It's part of the holy work that we talked about earlier, you know, getting free of all of the different meanings in your head, because you've been heavily subliminally programmed. Jim Keith was right, when there's even a lot of electromagnetic poisoning, in terms of altering your consciousness. So there are certain detergents that you need to pour on the psyche to clean it. And divination you know, again, again, it's all based on your own attitude toward it, right? Working with those working with crystals working with geomancy, sacred geometry, it's all important. these are these are the these are the quests of our ancestors to help us cleanse so that no matter what apocalypse is descending upon us, as now, right, you know, you'd still have sanitising methodologies, you know, to be able to purify. You know, I'm very proud of the first site 20 years old, as of last year, maybe this year, in 20 years, to get started, I think a ticket actually came around about 98, the first tower scopes website, actually, but the mystery school probably 99 or 2000. So that's 20 years, by all the site, my oldest project, it's still current, it's still got members from everywhere. So I'm really proud of that, because you know, things can fade away things can get out of date or jaded or just irrelevant, right. So my first creation is strong. And that's really encouraging, you know, for everything I'm doing now, it's not like, oh, that had to fold up to get to this and like this with so many other things, you know, but no, from my first creation to the last, they've all been really strong and sustainable and, and worth their weight in gold, you know, so I'm really proud of that.

1:27:18

Yeah, and you should be I mean, when I spoke to David Whitehead. I said, I don't have the benchmark of anybody to say, but I said that the Unslaved website, is probably the most content rich website that I've ever come across. And it's serve mee and anybody who's in the right thinking mind in my opinion, I can't find anything else on the internet that can come close to that. So I take my hat off to you and your work.

1:27:48

We hope to have you on the guests for certain real soon. And what's on there right now. Yeah, and what's on there is a fraction of what's to come. You know, there's the premium has been a little bit on hold. But with guests like yourself, is top level, you know, people are really doing the real thinking and not looking for another trend to follow. Yeah, yeah. Yeah, there's so much going on.

1:28:09

Just before we wrap it up, Mike, can you give me an your opinion on a couple of people? Helena Blavatsky.

1:28:16

Yeah. I think in general, I think as a woman, I really like her a lot. Like what feminists should be picking her up, you know, but they won't because she was an occultist. Right? No, she was a remarkable soul. She would she would be embodied what I call the masculine principle in a woman, right? So for that, she's like iron Rand. And anyways, if you get into the information, you know, it's a little garbled, it's a little convoluted. But that's not harmful. Everybody at that time from gurdjieff, to Steiner, even Sri Aurobindo you know, even even some other Well, well, meaning people it tends to be a little convoluted, let's say, right. But at the same time, that's made up for by brilliant commentary on the yugas on the route races, you know, so you have to take it lightly. You know, but there's really, really good information there. That helps us expand, you know, and then you move to the Julius Avilez. And you can move on to you know, the runny glands and never just focus down on one person because nobody has it, right. No, one person. Right? Well, maybe I can read but I'm probably one of the oldest. I've talked about, you know, the other older scholars, right? Nobody has it right. Because they're all seeking and they all told you that they were seekers. Nobody declaratively told you Well, it's only me. I've got it, you know. So yeah, like for work a lot. I'm not a blocky I write but I certainly would recommend her works, especially volume three of the Secret Doctrine, where she comes down on the Jasmine. monumental

1:29:49

Yeah. And obviously the Theosophical Society is checked out quite a few prominent figures as well. You got Rudolf Steiner too. I know you've mentioned him few times, you know, you got a lot from Steiner.

1:30:03

Yes, he was a good man. He killed himself trying to help humanity. He's very into the diet. So his works on dietary things were very, very interesting as well, you know, and other aspects of his work. So I'm very open minded, you know, and yet I'd never myself, you know, signed on as a cult member, so to speak, you know, I look I was one of the great great geniuses because he remember he was a product of Goethe. The greatest thinker there has ever been in Europe, you know, before you know, and his other protege was was Friedrich Schelling. So the two young men were his choice product is Steiner unschooling, so they're coming out of a tradition that's second to none in terms of German mysticism and German philosophy. So of course, you have to study them, what the hell right? These are the finest

three intellects along with Heidegger and Merleau-Ponty that the world has ever ever ever produced. It's a no brainer, right?

1:30:57

Yeah. And last one, i'm wary of - time just an hour and a half. Last one. Owen Barfield?

1:31:03

Well. You can't come to the bottom of his work either. And by the way, it's there's another name if you have to have a name to that triad of Heidegger Merleau-Ponty and somebody else well that somebody else would be you know, toss opportunities like like a Gabrielle Marcel, but even on Barfield would be a perfect, you know, perfect addition to that team. Because he is, takes up what Heidegger loved so much, which was the old languages. Barfield is the number one the brain on that my god right. And in a very similar way, very briefly to explain is that he is he he believed that not even you know, because people like me talking about symbolism a lot as being real core and real primary. No, that's true, but Barfield said something very, very vital that in a way Heidegger did too. And that is your first communal aspect with the world, right? Your first recognition of the world in hard terms is by language, because after all, don't we name things? Even if I live in a fucking cave? I still called a cave. Well, why? Right? So our language is our first engagement with the world we hear the birds singing, we hear the apes, Gerald Massey went into this in detail. So we start to name things. So our first actual relationship with being in the world is with language that kind of develops into poetry and and this was central to the Bartok tradition to get the Gaelic pre Gaelic druidic tradition as well, they've got it to the same thing Haiku as as well. So he would look at that he brings that to the table so that he realises that. But then he added this other thing that became more Heideggerian. And that is, in this naming process. It's not all benign. By the naming of a thing you also own and control it, you classify it, you pin it to a board. Barfield brought that to the table as well, that there's a dark negative side of language. We talked earlier about sophistry, right? Well, this is what we're talking about now as well, again, any uses of language the name declassify brings on board science, which is so damaged our world, right? Because then the words that you're giving to a thing cork, you know, multiverse string theory, you know, they're having a meeting AI, you know, right brain left, right there, you know, we're into the word now. And that brings on the left brain and the left brain is the chief distortion of reality we've got it works in words. So Heidegger, Barfield, they bring this that words are good, they link you to the world. But they can also be bad because you you're doing something else with them. You are like a predator you're trying to stamp like you was when you brown the cow. Right? You're branding the world. And then you run a classifier, and that's what's called in German guest spell or in framing. And so those two scholars Heidegger and merleau Ponty and of course, Barfield, supremely, were people who are absolutely dreading. You know, this idea that through the word, we've cast our net, and we're making reality into something that isn't it, we're distorting it deeply, in a way that it'll become so simulated, that we won't even know it'll become a world of words. And we won't even be in touch with the real thing anymore. So the word was meant to bring the thing to us and say, Who are you what are you candlestick cloud month, but by the same token, you can also push things away and push them away forever. And that will then cause a major mental catastrophe. So Oh, my God. Oh, and Barfield was absolutely huge, huge.

1:34:23

He was an inkling as well was named CS Lewis and Tolkien.

1:34:28

But his work is much deeper than CS Lewis. I love CS Lewis. But Barfield is the greatest thinker by far. And he was a theosophist he was into Schelling he was in the cold rich. His greatest mentor was cold, rich, but cold rich was the Englishman who iterated all of shellings work without credit, shelling knew it doesn't matter. But Coleridge was a bit of a plagiarist a great man, but he based his ideas on romantic philosophy of Germany, particularly Schelling. So Barfield who worshipped Coleridge, also then you know, gets the Schelling connection. Those three are very much tied But Barfield acknowledged it and acknowledged Theosophy was cold rich, I don't know his personality. It's not a very serious thing. It's just that that people thought that Coleridge had come up with the word unconscious, right because he used it in his work but actually it was Schelling the coined the term actually from unconscious, the philosopher and coined that. No doubt about that. And so there's a little bit of a wrangle there, you know, but ultimately, that's all on partners just backstage stuff. That all of these men were great thinkers, great geniuses, and that would have been teaching our kids their work. Oh, my goodness, you know, we wouldn't even be remotely in the world we're in today. No way.

1:35:36

Yeah.

1:35:38

Michael we'll leave it at that. Thank you for talking to me. I've enjoyed speaking. It's been a pleasure.

1:35:44

It's always good to have somebody you know who asks intelligent questions. So Alex great, recommend your website really chuffed and actually look at the you know, you're impressed by the definitely impressed by the work you got there made. So we'll have you as a guest soon. As soon as we can, we'll get you on slide as well. But thanks for today. It's been great.

1:36:04

Cheer. All the best.

1:36:06

Thank you. Bye.